

# Protecting Wi-Fi Beacons from Outsider Forgeries

Mathy Vanhoef, Prasant Adhikari, and Christina Pöpper

WiSec, 9 July 2020.




NEW YORK UNIVERSITY



NYU | ABU DHABI

# Background: beacons

- › Wi-Fi networks use beacons to announce their presence
- › They are sent every ~100 ms by an Access Point



Contains properties of the network:

- › Name of the network
- › Supported bitrates (e.g. 11n or 11ac)
- › Regulatory constraints (e.g. transmission power)
- › ...

**Problem: beacons can be forged by an adversary!**

# Our contributions



Novel **attacks**  
abusing beacons



**Defense** to prevent  
outsider forgeries



**Standardized** as  
part of 802.11

Defense is being **implemented** by Linux  
and might become **part of WPA3**

# Taking a step back: Wi-Fi security

Focus was protecting data, not beacons:

- › WEP, WPA1/2: only includes data frame protection
- › WPA3: includes management frame protection
- › Operating channel validation: verifies channel info

→ In all cases **beacons remain unprotected**

# Beacons are not protected

```
· Tag: SSID parameter set: cisco
· Tag: Supported Rates 1(B), 2(B), 5.5(B), 11(B), 6, 9, 12, 18, [Mbit/sec]
· Tag: DS Parameter set: Current Channel: 1
· Tag: Traffic Indication Map (TIM): DTIM 0 of 0 bitmap
· Tag: Country Information: Country Code GB, Environment Unknown (0x04)
· Tag: Power Constraint: 3
· Tag: ERP Information
· Tag: Extended Supported Rates 24, 36, 48, 54, [Mbit/sec]
· Tag: QBSS Load Element 802.11e CCA Version
· Tag: RM Enabled Capabilities (5 octets)
· Tag: HT Capabilities (802.11n D1.10)
· Tag: RSN Information
· Tag: Mobility Domain
· Tag: HT Information (802.11n D1.10)
· Tag: Extended Capabilities (10 octets)
· Ext Tag: HE Capabilities (IEEE Std 802.11ax/D3.0)
· Ext Tag: HE Operation (IEEE Std 802.11ax/D3.0)
· Ext Tag: Spatial Reuse Parameter Set
```

- › WPA version & channel: verified when connecting [WiSec'18]
- › All other fields can be spoofed by an adversary



# Novel Attacks

# Power constraint attacks

Beacons contain the maximum allowed transmit power

- Country Info: First Channel Number: 1, Number  
First Channel Number: 1  
Number of Channels: 13  
Maximum Transmit Power Level: 20dBm
- Tag: Power Constraint: 3  
Tag Number: Power Constraint (32)  
Tag length: 1  
Local Power Constraint: 3

→ Adversary can **lower transmission power of victim**

# Power constraint attacks

Beacons contain the maximum allowed transmit power

Experiments:

- › **iPad, MacBook, and Linux**: lowers transmit power of device
- › All other test devices not affected (unknown why)



# Power constraint attacks

Beacons contain the maximum allowed transmit power

Vendor-specific power element of Cisco:

- › Can also be exploited to lower transmit power of device
- › Linux: can be abused to **forcibly disconnect a victim**
  - ›› Normally we cannot set negative transmission limits
  - ›› But with the Cisco power element we can

# Power constraint attacks

DEMO!

# Lowering a victim's bandwidth

- › Before transmission the medium must be idle:



# Lowering a victim's bandwidth

- › Before transmission the medium must be idle:




- › Beacon contains the duration of these waiting periods:

```
-Ac Parameters ACI 0 (Best Effort), ACM no
·ACI / AIFSN Field: 0x03
·ECW: 0xa4
  1010 ..... = ECW Max: 10
  ..... 0100 = ECW Min: 4
  CW Max: 1023
  CW Min: 15
  TXOP Limit: 0
```

# Lowering a victim's bandwidth

- › Before transmission the medium must be idle:



- › Spoofing this info causes clients to **delay transmissions**:



- › If another device transmits in the meantime, the victim restarts the waiting process & **possibly never transmits**

# Lowering a victim's bandwidth: experiments



Linux is affected with any network card we tested



Apple devices are affected (Macbook Pro, iPhone, iPad)



Windows is affected depending on network card (e.g. Alfa and TP-Link cards are affected but not Intel ones)



Android is affected depending on the device: Nexus 5X was affected, but not our old Samsung i9305

Targeted unfairness

DEMO!

# Other attacks & findings

Partial machine-in-the-middle attack

- › Bypasses channel operating validation in Linux




Battery depletion attacks

- › Spoof beacons to **make clients stay awake**

**Send beacon as unicast frames** to target specific clients

- › Worked against all tested devices






# Our Defense

# Design goals

Focus on **practicality & simplicity** to encourage adoption

- › Cryptographic operations must be efficient
- › Bandwidth overhead must be low
  - ›› Beacons are sent at low bitrate and consume significant airtime



## **Straightforward to implement**

- › Ideally reuse existing crypto primitives of Wi-Fi

# Design approach

To achieve our goals, we rely on **symmetric encryption**

- › Reuse crypto primitives of management frame protection



We **defend against outsider attacks**

- › Adversary doesn't possess network credentials
- › Similar to protection of broadcast Wi-Fi traffic

# Beacon protection: new element

We add a **new type-length-value element** to beacons:




- › Clients that do not recognize this element will ignore it
- › Nonce: incremental number to **prevent replay attacks**
- › Message Integrity Check: **CMAC or GMAC** over the beacon
  - › Existing crypto primitive of management frame protection
  - › All WPA3-capable devices already support it

# Key management

Key used to generate/verify the authenticity tag?

- › AP generates a fresh **beacon protection key** when booting
  - › **AP always sends the beacon key** when a client connects
    - ›› Older clients will ignore this key
    - ›› New clients will enable beacon protection
- Adversary can't manipulate handshake that transports the beacon key, **preventing downgrade attacks.**


# Pre-authentication behavior




Periodic beacons



Client **cannot verify beacon**  
before connecting (no key!)



# Pre-authentication behavior






Periodic beacons

Store **1 beacon as reference**  
& extra info from it





# Pre-authentication behavior






# Pre-authentication behavior




# Pre-authentication behavior



# Reporting forged beacons

- › Clients can report forged beacons to the AP
- › Can now **detect far away rouge APs**





# Practical Results

# Specification

- › Collaborated with industry to standardize our defense (Intel, Broadcom, Qualcomm and Huawei)
- › Since March 2019 **part of the (draft) IEEE 802.11 standard:**

March 2019	doc.: IEEE 802.11-19/0314r2
<b>IEEE P802.11 Wireless LANs</b>	
<b>802.11 Beacon Protection - for CID 2116 and CID 2673</b>	
Date: 2019-03-11	

# Specification

- › Collaborated with industry to standardize our defense (Intel, Broadcom, Qualcomm and Huawei)
- › Since March 2019 **part of the (draft) IEEE 802.11 standard:**

Might become **part of WPA3 specification?** 😊

In addition, **Wi-Fi Alliance has identified** the following potential security protocol updates and will review all comments received:

15. Hash-to-element password generation, Client Privacy Mechanisms, Operation Channel Validation, and **Beacon protection** in IEEE Draft

# Implementation

Now being implemented by Linux:

- › Kernel: generate and verify authentication tags
- › Hostap: manages keys and enables beacon protection

# DEMO!

# Conclusion



- › Prevent outsiders from forging beacons
- › Our focus on practicality paid off:
  - › **Defense is now part of the 802.11 standard**
  - › Being implemented by **Linux**
  - › Might become part of WPA3?