## All Your Biases Belong To Us: Breaking RC4 in WPA-TKIP and TLS

#### Mathy Vanhoef and Frank Piessens, KU Leuven

USENIX Security 2015 (best student paper)

Presentation for USENIC ATC '16





## Intriguingly simple stream cipher ~ 10 lines in Python



And others ...





## Intriguingly simple stream cipher ~ 10 lines in Python





## Why study RC4?

Immune to recent attacks on SSL/TLS:

- 2003: Padding oracle
- 2011: BEAST
- 2013: Lucky 13
- 2014: POODLE

Target CBC mode encryption (block ciphers)

Solution: use stream cipher or up-to-date TLS library

Only widely supported option was RC4



## **RC4** was heavily used!

#### ICSI Notary: #TLS connections using RC4





## **Browser support today (June 2016)**



Chrome: dropped support in v48 (20 Jan. 2016)



Firefox: dropped support in v44 (26 Jan. 2016)

IE11: supports RC4

Edge: supports RC4

*"will be disabled in forthcoming update"* 



## **Contributions: why RC4 must die**



$$\lambda_{\widehat{\mu}} = \left(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}(g)
ight)^{|\mathcal{C}| - |\widehat{u}|} \cdot oldsymbol{lpha}(g)^{|\widehat{\mu}|}$$

#### New Biases

#### **Plaintext Recovery**



#### **Break WPA-TKIP**



**Attack HTTPS** 



## **Contributions: why RC4 must die**



$$\lambda_{\widehat{\mu}} = \left(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}(g)
ight)^{|\mathcal{C}| - |\widehat{u}|} \cdot oldsymbol{lpha}(g)^{|\widehat{\mu}|}$$

#### **New Biases**

#### **Plaintext Recovery**



#### **Break WPA-TKIP**



**Attack HTTPS** 



## **First: Existing Biases**





## Long-Term Biases

Fluhrer-McGrew (2000):

Some consecutive values are biased

Examples: (0,0) and (0,1)

Mantin's ABSAB Bias (2005):

A byte pair (A, B) likely reappears



## **Search for new biases**

Traditional emperical approach:

- Generate large amount of keystreams
- Manually inspect data or graph



# Fluhrer-McGrew biases: only 8 of 65 536 pairs are biased

How to automate the search?



## **Search for new biases**

Traditional emperical approach:

- Generate large amount of keystreams
- Manually inspect data or graph



Hypothesis tests!

- Uniformly distributed: Chi-squared test.
- Correlated: M-test (detect outliers = biases)

Allows a large-scale search, revealing many new biases



## **Biases in Bytes 258-513**

#### Example: keystream byte 258



Distrine

## **Biases in Bytes 258-513**

#### Example: keystream byte 320



Distrine

## **Biases in Bytes 258-513**

**III** iMinds

KU LEUVE

#### Example: keystream byte 352



## **New Long-term Bias**

$$(Z_{256\cdot w}, Z_{256\cdot w+2}) = (0, 128)$$
  
with probability  $2^{-16}(1 + 2^{-8})$ 



#### Every block of 256 bytes



## **Additional Biases**



# See paper!



## **Contributions: why RC4 must die**



$$\lambda_{\widehat{\mu}} = (1 - lpha(g))^{|\mathcal{C}| - |\widehat{u}|} \cdot lpha(g)^{|\widehat{\mu}|}$$

#### New Biases

#### **Plaintext Recovery**



#### **Break WPA-TKIP**



Attack HTTPS



## **Existing Methods [AlFardan et al. '13]**

Plaintext encrypted under several keystreams





#### **Ciphertext** Distribution





#### **RC4 & Ciphertext** distribution





#### If plaintext byte $\mu = 0x28$ : **RC4 & Induced**





#### If plaintext byte $\mu = 0x5C$ : **RC4** & **Induced**





#### If plaintext byte $\mu = 0x5A$ : **RC4 & Induced**





## **Types of likelihood estimates**

Previous works: pick value with highest likelihood.

Better idea: list of candidates in decreasing likelihood:

- Most likely one may not be correct!
- Prune bad candidates (e.g. bad CRC)
- Brute force cookies or passwords

How to calculate list of candidates?



## 1<sup>st</sup> idea: Generate List of Candidatess

## Gist of the Algorithm: Incremental approach

Calculate candidates of length 1, length 2, ...







## **Contributions: why RC4 must die**



## $\lambda_{\widehat{\mu}} = (1 - \alpha(g))^{|\mathcal{C}| - |\widehat{u}|} \cdot \alpha(g)^{|\widehat{\mu}|}$

#### New Biases

#### **Plaintext Recovery**



#### **Break WPA-TKIP**



Attack HTTPS





#### How are packets sent/received?



1. Add Message Integrity Check (MIC)





- 1. Add Message Integrity Check (MIC)
- 2. Add CRC (leftover from WEP)





- 1. Add Message Integrity Check (MIC)
- 2. Add CRC (leftover from WEP)
- 3. Add IV (increments every frame)





- 1. Add Message Integrity Check (MIC)
- 2. Add CRC (leftover from WEP)
- 3. Add IV (increments every frame)
- 4. Encrypt using RC4 (per-packet key)



## Flaw #1: TKIP Per-packet Key





## Flaw #1: TKIP Per-packet Key



# → *IV*-dependent biases in keystream [Gupta/Paterson et al.]



## Flaw #2: MIC is invertible



# → With the MIC key, an attacker can inject and decrypt some packets [AsiaCCS '13]



## **Goal: decrypt data and MIC**



Goal: decrypt packet using RC4 biases & derive MIC key

- Problem: must generate many identical WPA-TKIP packets
- Solution: make victim connect to our server and retransmit identical TCP packets

Generate list of packet candidates

Prune bad candidates based on CRC



## **Evaluation**



## **Evaluation**



## **Contributions: why RC4 must die**



$$\lambda_{\widehat{\mu}} = (1 - lpha(g))^{|\mathcal{C}| - |\widehat{u}|} \cdot lpha(g)^{|\widehat{\mu}|}$$

#### New Biases

#### **Plaintext Recovery**



#### **Break WPA-TKIP**



#### **Attack HTTPS**



## **TLS Background**



#### → Focus on record protocol with RC4 as cipher



## **Targeting HTTPS Cookies**

Previous attacks only used Fluhrer-McGrew (FM) biases

#### We combine FM biases and ABSAB biases

To use ABSAB biases we first surround cookie with known data

- 1. Remove unknown plaintext arround cookie
- 2. Inject known plaintext arround cookie



## **Example: manipulated HTTP request**



## **Preparation: manipulating cookies**





## **Performing the attack!**





JavaScript: Cross-Origin requests in WebWorkers

## **Performing the attack!**





Keep-Alive connection to generate them fast

## **Performing the attack!**





Combine Fluhrer-McGrew and ABSAB biases

## **Decrypting 16-character cookie**



## **Decrypting 16-character cookie**



## **Decrypting 16-character cookie**

# DEMO

## rc4nomore.com



# Questions?

#### May the bias be ever in your favor



# Questions?

#### May the bias be ever in your favor



## **High level description**

## Shuffles permutation of [0..255]





**III** iMinds

**KU LEUVE** 















## **Biases in Bytes 257-513**

#### Distribution keystream byte 513





## **Additional Biases**

Short-Term:

- $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  influence initial 256 bytes
- Consecutive bytes likely (in)equal

Long-term Biases:

Byte value "likely" reappears







 $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  influence all initial 256 bytes

$$Z_1 = 257 - i \rightarrow Z_i = 0$$

**III** iMinds

KU LEUVEN



- $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  influence all initial 256 bytes
- $Z_1 = 257 i \rightarrow Z_i = 0$
- $Z_1 = 257 i \rightarrow Z_i = i$

**III** iMinds

KU LEUVE



- $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  influence all initial 256 bytes
- $Z_1 = 257 i \rightarrow Z_i = 0$
- $Z_1 = 257 i \quad \Rightarrow Z_i = i$
- $\bullet Z_2 = 0 \qquad \rightarrow Z_i \neq i$





- $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  influence all initial 256 bytes
- $Z_1 = 257 i \quad \Rightarrow Z_i = 0$
- $Z_1 = 257 i \quad \rightarrow Z_i = i$
- $\bullet Z_2 = 0 \qquad \rightarrow Z_i \neq i$
- And others



#### If plaintext byte $\mu = 0x28$ : **RC4 & Induced**





#### If plaintext byte $\mu = 0x5C$ : **RC4** & **Induced**





#### If plaintext byte $\mu = 0x5A$ : **RC4 & Induced**





## Evaluation

Simulations with  $2^{30}$  candidates:

• Need  $\approx 2^{24}$  captures to decrypt with high success rates

Emperical tests:

- Server can inject 2 500 packets per second
- Roughly one hour to capture sufficient traffic
- Successfully decrypted packet & found MIC key!

